(1) (5)

SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3347 OF 2006

PETITIONER

Dr. (Smt.) Ranjana Sharma, W/o Dr. Ravindra Sharma, aged about 42 years, posted posted as Assistant Professor (Geography), Vishwanath Yadav Tamaskar Government P.G. Arts & Science College, Durg & R/oHIG - II/301, M.P.H.B. Colony, Borsi, Durg, District Durg [Chhattisgarh]

P.R. No. 3409 Pl
Presented by Shri Amar Das
dated 6/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur [Chhattisgarh]

2. Principal, Vishwanath Yadav Tamaskar Government P.G. Arts & Science College, Durg, District Durg [Chhattisgarh].

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR
6/12/06

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/96 02/07/08

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

(53)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.3347/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10.7.2006 Heard Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate with Shri A. Dalpati, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Adv. for the State on advance copy. By this petition the petitioner has questioned the legality, propriety and correctness of the order dated 30.6.2006 whereby she has been transferred from Vishwnath Yadav Tamaskar Government Post Graduate Arts & Science College, Durg to Late Devi Prasad Choubey Government College, Saja. The ground raised by the petitioner for challenging the transfer order is that the petitioner is suffering from Spinal Cord problem (Spondylites) and therefore, it is difficult for her to undertake journey. Another ground is that the petitioner is engaged in doing research project work. The petitioner has already made representation (Annexure P-6) to the Secretary, Higher Education Department, but that is not being decided by the Secretary. In the circumstances, learned Sr. Advocate for the petitioner submits that respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner after considering the points raised by her, to which learned Govt. Advocate for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts & circumstances of the case, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-6) of petitioner within a period of 40 days from today after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. In the meantime, the petitioner is at liberty to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2670/06 and I.A.No.6575/06 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

(पीठ ...)

13.7.06